

VARIABLES SUGGESTED BY NILSON BUT NOT ADOPTED BY BPRC IN SASKATCHEWAN

A) ELEMENTS OF ACUTELY ELEVATED RISK (PRE-IDENTIFICATION) → During the filter process, Hub discussants collectively determine the presence of acutely-elevated risk. The presence of four mandatory conditions (cumulative elements) are recorded to demonstrate the presence of acutely-elevated risk. These elements help set parameters for Hub discussants to identify acutely-elevated risk, relatively the same way each time—without sacrificing collective discretion.

PRESENCE OF ACUTELY-ELEVATED RISK (PRE-IDENTIFICATION)
Significant interest at stake
Probability of harm occurring
Significant intensity of harm
Multi-disciplinary nature of elevated risk

B) ELEMENTS OF ACUTELY ELEVATED RISK (POST-DISCUSSION) → Following a Hub intervention, Hub discussants collectively determine whether there is no longer a situation of acutely-elevated risk, based on (a) the original risk factors and (c) the information they had with the discussion subject at the last point of contact. If at least one of the four elements of acutely-elevated risk is not met, acutely-elevated risk is considered absent.

ABSENCE OF ACUTELY-ELEVATED RISK (POST-DISCUSSION)
Significant interest at stake
Probability of harm occurring
Significant intensity of harm
Multi-disciplinary nature of elevated risk

C) COMPLETED COLLECTIVE HUB TASKS → Once the Hub begins to implement its intervention, several tasks are undertaken. During data collection, multiple task variables can be entered, each task can be entered multiple times if needed and the date of delivery of tasks can be attached to each entry. Recording these tasks helps the Hub keep track of what efforts have been made toward mitigating acutely-elevated risk. Most importantly, it shows what collective work is being done by Hub discussants to collaborate around the needs of discussion subjects.

TASK AREA	COMPLETED COLLECTIVE HUB TASKS
Information Search	Investigated risk factors further Determined past/current services received Located individual Failed to locate individual Located personal supports Failed to locate personal supports
Communication	Communicated with individual Communicated with personal supports Communicated with others
Service	Conduct motivational interviewing Assist with safety planning <i>Other</i>
Advise	Advised local agency Advised agency in other jurisdiction Advised personal supports of individual
Consult	Consulted with local agency Consulted with agency in other jurisdiction Consulted with personal supports of individual
Other	<i>Other</i>

D) DISCUSSION FOLLOW-UP → Once a Hub discussion is closed, discussants collectively determine if follow-up with the discussion subject should be provided. Follow-up helps verify whether discussion subjects were able to “connect” or “engage” with services mobilized during the collaborative intervention. The follow-up mechanism was designed to make the intervention more sustainable, and the chances of a discussion subject to return to the table, less likely.

FOLLOW-UP
engaged in services
connected to services
has not acted on intervention plan
continues to refuse services
relocated
risk is mitigated through no action of Hub
risk has re-elevated